## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES FILED

PM 1:78 Space for Office File Stamp TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE FROM: J. Hinkle. Y: Dft Gregory <u>Duran</u> 08cv1042-JAH(JMA) DOCUMENT FILED BY: CASE NO.: CASE TITLE: Wall Street Fund I Ltd v. New World Capital Currency Fund LP et al DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted: Local Rule Discrepancy Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation 5.1 5.3 Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper 5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued. 7.1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely 7.1 or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions 7.1 or 47.1 7.1 Missing table of contents 15.1 Amended pleading not complete in itself Depositions not accepted absent a court order 30.1 Supplemental documents require court order Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest OTHER: No original signature of atty. I do not see the referenced motion on the docket. No hearing date or time. Also atty does not appear on docket. Date forwarded: 7/15/08 ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received. The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties. Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF: